

ON THE GEOMETRY AND CLASSIFICATION OF ABSOLUTE PARALLELISMS. II

JOSEPH A. WOLF

8. The irreducible case

Let (M, ds^2) be a simply connected globally symmetric pseudo-riemannian manifold, and ϕ an absolute parallelism on M consistent with ds^2 . We assume (M, ds^2) to be irreducible. Our standing notation is

- \mathfrak{p} : the LTS of ϕ -parallel vector fields on M ,
- \mathfrak{g} : the Lie algebra of all Killing vector fields on M ,
- σ_x : conjugation of \mathfrak{g} by the symmetry s_x at $x \in M$,
- $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{m}$: eigenspace decomposition under σ_x .

The irreducibility says that \mathfrak{m} is a simple noncommutative LTS, and thus (Lemma 6.2) says the same for \mathfrak{p} .

8.1. Lemma. *Either $[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] = \mathfrak{p}$ or $[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \cap \mathfrak{p} = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{i} = [\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \cap \mathfrak{p}$. Then $[[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}], \mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{p}$ implies $[\mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{i}$ and so $[\mathfrak{i}\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{i}$. Thus \mathfrak{i} is a LTS ideal in \mathfrak{p} . By simplicity, either $\mathfrak{i} = 0$ or $\mathfrak{i} = \mathfrak{p}$.

If $\mathfrak{i} = 0$, then $[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \cap \mathfrak{p} = 0$. If $\mathfrak{i} = \mathfrak{p}$, then $\mathfrak{p} \subset [\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}]$. As $[\mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{i}$, also $[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{p}$. Hence $[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] = \mathfrak{p}$. q.e.d.

We do the group manifolds immediately.

8.2. Proposition. *Let (M, ds^2) be irreducible simply connected and globally symmetric, with consistent absolute parallelism ϕ such that the LTS of ϕ -parallel fields satisfies $[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \cap \mathfrak{p} \neq 0$. Then $[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] = \mathfrak{p}$, \mathfrak{p} is a simple real Lie algebra, and $(M, \phi, ds^2) \cong (P, \lambda, d\sigma^2)$ where*

- (i) P is the simply connected group for \mathfrak{p} ,
- (ii) λ is the parallelism of left translation on P , and
- (iii) $d\sigma^2$ is the bi-invariant metric induced by a nonzero multiple of the Killing form of \mathfrak{p} .

The symmetry of $(P, d\sigma^2)$ at $1 \in P$ is given by $s(x) = x^{-1}$. The group G of all isometries of $(P, d\sigma^2)$ has isotropy subgroup K at 1 given by

$$K = \text{Aut}_R(\mathfrak{p}) \cup s \cdot \text{Aut}_R(\mathfrak{p}) .$$

The identity component G_0 of G is locally isomorphic to $P \times P$, acting by left and right translations. G is the disjoint union of cosets $\alpha \cdot G_0$ and $s\alpha \cdot G_0$ as α

runs through a system of representatives of $\text{Aut}_R(\mathfrak{p})/\text{Int}(\mathfrak{p})$. Finally, $s(\lambda)$ is the parallelism of right translation, and is the only other absolute parallelism on P consistent with $d\sigma^2$.

Proof. Theorem 3.8, Lemma 8.1, fact (10.6), and the fact that any invariant bilinear form on a real simple Lie algebra is a multiple of the Killing form, give us $(M, \phi, ds^2) \cong (P, \lambda, d\sigma^2)$ with $s(\lambda) = \rho$, as claimed. The assertions on G and K follow from (5.2) and the fact that every derivation of a simple Lie algebra is inner. q.e.d.

Now we start in on the non-group case.

8.3. Lemma. *Let $[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \cap \mathfrak{p} = 0$. Then \mathfrak{g} is simple, $\mathfrak{g} = [\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] + \mathfrak{p}$, and there is an automorphism*

$$(8.4) \quad \varepsilon_x: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \quad \text{such that} \quad \varepsilon_x(\xi) = \xi - \sigma_x(\xi) \quad \text{for } \xi \in \mathfrak{p}.$$

Proof. $\mathfrak{k} = [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}]$ is faithfully represented as the Lie algebra of all LTS derivations of \mathfrak{m} . Now (10.3) shows $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{l}_S(\mathfrak{m})$ standard Lie enveloping algebra; as \mathfrak{m} is simple this forces $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{l}_U(\mathfrak{m})$ universal Lie enveloping algebra. If \mathfrak{g} were not simple, then (10.7) \mathfrak{m} would be the LTS of a Lie algebra, and Theorem 3.8 would force $[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{p}$. Thus \mathfrak{g} is simple.

Let $h: \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$ be the inverse of the LTS isomorphism f_x of Lemma 6.2. Then h extends to a Lie algebra homomorphism of $\mathfrak{l}_U(\mathfrak{m}) = \mathfrak{g}$ onto the algebra $[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] + \mathfrak{p}$ generated by \mathfrak{p} . As \mathfrak{g} is simple, $h: \mathfrak{g} \cong [\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] + \mathfrak{p}$. In particular $[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] + \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g}$ and we realize ε_x as h^{-1} . q.e.d.

Our method consists of showing that σ_x and ε_x generate such a large group of outer automorphisms of \mathfrak{g} that we can deduce \mathfrak{g} to be of type D_4 and ε_x to be the triality. Some technical problem (proving σ_x outer) forces us to reduce to the compact case.

We construct a compact riemannian version of (M, ds^2) . Choose

$$(8.5a) \quad \theta: \text{Cartan involution of } \mathfrak{g}.$$

Thus θ is an involutive automorphism of \mathfrak{g} , whose fixed point set is a maximal compactly embedded subalgebra $\mathfrak{l} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Let \mathfrak{q} be the -1 eigenspace of θ on \mathfrak{g} . Then we have

$$(8.5b) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{l} + \mathfrak{q} \quad \text{Cartan decomposition under } \theta.$$

Now choose $x \in M$ so that σ_x commutes with θ . That is always possible because the σ_z , $z \in M$, form a conjugacy class of semi-simple automorphisms of \mathfrak{g} . That done, we have

$$(8.5c) \quad \mathfrak{k} = (\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{l}) + (\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{q}), \quad \mathfrak{m} = (\mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{l}) + (\mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{q}).$$

Now define

$$(8.6a) \quad \mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{l} + i\mathfrak{q} \quad \text{compact real form of } \mathfrak{g}^C,$$

and define subspaces of \mathfrak{g}^* by

$$(8.6b) \quad \mathfrak{f}^* = \mathfrak{f}^C \cap \mathfrak{g}^*, \quad \mathfrak{m}^* = \mathfrak{m}^C \cap \mathfrak{g}^*.$$

σ_x extends to \mathfrak{g}^C by linearity and then restricts to an automorphism (still denoted σ_x) of \mathfrak{g}^* . Now

$$(8.6c) \quad \mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{f}^* + \mathfrak{m}^* \quad \text{eigenspace decomposition under } \sigma_x.$$

To pass to the group level we define

G^* : simply connected group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}^* ,

K^* : analytic subgroup for \mathfrak{f}^* .

Then G^* is a compact semisimple group, and K^* is a closed subgroup because it is identity component of the fixed point set of σ_x on G^* . Now we have

$$M^* = G^*/K^*: \quad \text{compact simply connected manifold.}$$

The Killing form κ of \mathfrak{g}^* is negative definite, so the restriction of $-\kappa$ to \mathfrak{m}^* induces

dt^2 : G^* -invariant riemannian metric on M^* .

We summarize the main properties as follows.

8.7. Lemma. *(M^*, dt^2) is a simply connected globally symmetric riemannian manifold of compact type, and \mathfrak{g}^* is the Lie algebra of all Killing vector fields on (M^*, dt^2) . For simple \mathfrak{g} , (M^*, dt^2) is irreducible if and only if \mathfrak{g}^C is simple. If \mathfrak{g} is simple but \mathfrak{g}^C is not simple, then $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{l}$ with \mathfrak{l} compact simple and σ_x C -linear on \mathfrak{g} , and $\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{l}$ with $\mathfrak{f}^* = (\mathfrak{f} \cap \mathfrak{l}) \oplus (\mathfrak{f} \cap \mathfrak{l})$.*

Proof. The riemannian metric dt^2 is symmetric because it is induced by an invariant bilinear form $-\kappa$ of \mathfrak{g}^* . As \mathfrak{g}^* is semisimple and σ_x -stable it must contain every Killing vector field of (M^*, dt^2) .

If \mathfrak{g}^C is simple, then \mathfrak{g}^* is simple, so (M, dt^2) is irreducible. If (M, dt^2) irreducible, then \mathfrak{m}^* is a simple LTS; if further \mathfrak{g} is simple, then \mathfrak{m} (thus also \mathfrak{m}^*) is not the LTS of a Lie algebra; thus \mathfrak{g}^* is simple, and that proves \mathfrak{g}^C simple.

Suppose \mathfrak{g} to be simple but \mathfrak{g}^C not simple. Then $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{l}$ where the maximal compactly embedded subalgebra \mathfrak{l} is a compact real form. To avoid confusion we write $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{l} + j\mathfrak{l}$ with $j^2 = -1$. Were σ_x antilinear on \mathfrak{g} its fixed point set \mathfrak{f} would be a real form, so $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{f} + j\mathfrak{f}$ and $\mathfrak{m} = j\mathfrak{f}$; then \mathfrak{f} would be absolutely irreducible on \mathfrak{m} , so (M, dt^2) would be irreducible, contradicting nonsimplicity of \mathfrak{g}^C . Thus σ_x is complex-linear on \mathfrak{g} . Now the fixed point set $\mathfrak{f} = (\mathfrak{f} \cap \mathfrak{l})^C$, and the assertions on \mathfrak{g}^* and \mathfrak{f}^* follow. q.e.d.

If (M, ds^2) is compact, then $(M^*, dt^2) = (M, cds^2)$ for some real $c \neq 0$. If (M, ds^2) is riemannian, then (Corollary 4.5) it is compact.

We carry ϕ over to an absolute parallelism on (M^*, dt^2) .

8.8. Lemma. *The Cartan involution θ can be chosen so that $\theta(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}$. Assume θ so chosen, and define $\mathfrak{p}^* = \mathfrak{p}^c \cap \mathfrak{g}^*$. Then there is an absolute parallelism ϕ^* on M^* consistent with dt^2 , such that \mathfrak{p}^* is the LTS of ϕ^* -parallel vector fields on M^* . If $[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] = \mathfrak{p}$, then $[\mathfrak{p}^*, \mathfrak{p}^*] = \mathfrak{p}^*$. If $[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \cap \mathfrak{p} = 0$, then $[\mathfrak{p}^*, \mathfrak{p}^*] \cap \mathfrak{p}^* = 0$.*

Proof. If $[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] = \mathfrak{p}$, then $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{b}$ with each summand stable under any choice of θ , and $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{b} \oplus 0$. Then $\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{b}^* \oplus \mathfrak{b}^*$ with $\mathfrak{p}^* = \mathfrak{b}^* \oplus 0$ and all the assertions are trivial.

Now suppose $[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \cap \mathfrak{p} = 0$. Then from (8.4) we have an involutive automorphism $\pi = \varepsilon_x^{-1} \sigma_x \varepsilon_x$ whose fixed point set is $[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}]$ and whose -1 eigenspace is \mathfrak{p} . Note that this shows π to be independent of x . As π is a semisimple automorphism of \mathfrak{g} , we can choose θ to commute with π .

We now assume further that θ commutes with π . In other words, using (8.5),

$$(8.9a) \quad [\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] = ([\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \cap \mathfrak{l}) + ([\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \cap \mathfrak{q}), \quad \mathfrak{p} = (\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{l}) + (\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{q}).$$

From this we see

$$(8.9b) \quad [\mathfrak{p}^*, \mathfrak{p}^*] = [\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}]^c \cap \mathfrak{g}^*, \quad \text{so} \quad \mathfrak{g}^* = [\mathfrak{p}^*, \mathfrak{p}^*] + \mathfrak{p}^*.$$

In order to proceed we must check that

$$(8.10) \quad (1 - \sigma_x)[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] = \mathfrak{m}, \quad (1 - \sigma_x)[\mathfrak{p}^*, \mathfrak{p}^*] = \mathfrak{m}^*.$$

In view of (8.9) it suffices to check the first of these assertions. If $(1 - \sigma_x)[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \neq \mathfrak{m}$, then we have $0 \neq u \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that

$$b_x((1 - \sigma_x)[\xi, \eta], u) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{p}.$$

Let $\zeta \in \mathfrak{p}$ with $(1 - \sigma_x)\zeta = u$. Now

$$ds_x^2(\xi, [\eta, \zeta]) = ds_x^2([\xi, \eta], \zeta) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{p}$$

implying $[\mathfrak{p}, \zeta] = 0$. Applying ε_x now $[\mathfrak{m}, u] = 0$. As \mathfrak{m} is a simple noncommutative LTS now $u = 0$. We conclude $(1 - \sigma_x)[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] = \mathfrak{m}$, and (8.10) is verified.

Let J^* denote the analytic subgroup of G^* for $[\mathfrak{p}^*, \mathfrak{p}^*]$. It is closed in G^* , thus compact, because it is the identity component of the fixed point set of the automorphism $\pi = \varepsilon_x^{-1} \sigma_x \varepsilon_x$ on G^* . Denote

$$(8.11a) \quad x^* = 1 \cdot K^* \in M^*.$$

Now (8.10) shows $J^*(x^*)$ is open in M^* . As J^* is compact, so is $J^*(x^*)$. Thus

$$(8.11b) \quad J^*(x^*) = M^*.$$

Recall that dt^2 is induced by negative of the Killing form κ of \mathfrak{g}^* . Note that $\frac{1}{2}(1 - \sigma_x)$ is κ -orthogonal projection of \mathfrak{g}^* to \mathfrak{m}^* , and also from (8.9) that ε_x is well defined on \mathfrak{g}^* . Now let $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{p}^*$. If $j \in J^*$, then $\text{ad}(j)^{-1}\xi, \text{ad}(j)^{-1}\eta \in \mathfrak{p}^*$, and we compute

$$\begin{aligned} 4dt^2_{j(x^*)}(\xi, \eta) &= 4dt^2_{x^*}(\text{ad}(j)^{-1}\xi, \text{ad}(j)^{-1}\eta) \\ &= -\kappa((1 - \sigma_x) \text{ad}(j)^{-1}\xi, (1 - \sigma_x) \text{ad}(j)^{-1}\eta) \\ &= -\kappa(\varepsilon_x \text{ad}(j)^{-1}\xi, \varepsilon_x \text{ad}(j)^{-1}\eta) = -\kappa(\xi, \eta), \end{aligned}$$

which is independent of the choice of $j \in J^*$. But (8.11) says that every element of M^* is of the form $j(x^*)$. Thus

$$(8.12) \quad \text{if } \xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{p}^*, \text{ then } dt^2(\xi, \eta) \text{ is constant on } M^* .$$

Choose a basis $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\}$ of \mathfrak{p}^* . The ξ_{i,x^*} form a basis of M^* , because $(1 - \sigma_x)\mathfrak{p}^* = \mathfrak{m}^*$. Now (8.12) says that $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\}$ is a global frame on M^* with the $dt^2(\xi_i, \xi_j)$ constant. Recall that the ξ_i are Killing vector fields of (M^*, dt^2) . Corollary 4.15 now says that M^* has an absolute parallelism ϕ^* consistent with dt^2 such that \mathfrak{p}^* is the space of ϕ^* -parallel vector fields. q.e.d.

If \mathfrak{l} is a Lie algebra over a field F , then $\text{Aut}_F(\mathfrak{l})$ denotes the group of all automorphisms of \mathfrak{l} over F . If $F = \mathbb{R}$ or $F = \mathbb{C}$, then $\text{Int}(\mathfrak{l})$ denotes the normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}_F(\mathfrak{l})$ consisting of inner automorphisms, i.e., generated by the $\exp(\text{ad } v)$ with $v \in \mathfrak{l}$. If \mathfrak{l} is real or complex semisimple, then $\text{Int}(\mathfrak{l})$ is the identity component of the Lie group $\text{Aut}_F(\mathfrak{l})$.

Now we begin to identify (M, ds^2) .

8.13. Lemma. *Suppose $[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \cap \mathfrak{p} = 0$. If $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{g})$ is induced by an isometry of (M, ds^2) , in particular, if $\alpha \in \text{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$, then $\alpha(\mathfrak{m}) \neq \mathfrak{p}$, and $\varepsilon_x \alpha$ does not commute with σ_x . If $\alpha^* \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is induced by an isometry of (M^*, dt^2) , in particular, if $\alpha^* \in \text{Int}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, then $\alpha^*(\mathfrak{m}^*) \neq \mathfrak{p}^*$, and $\varepsilon_x \alpha^*$ does not commute with σ_x .*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{g})$ induced by an isometry a of (M, ds^2) . Then $\psi = a^{-1}(\phi)$ is an absolute parallelism on M consistent with ds^2 , and the LTS of ψ -parallel vector fields is $\alpha^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$. If $\alpha(\mathfrak{m}) = \mathfrak{p}$, then \mathfrak{m} is the LTS of ψ -parallel fields, and the comparison of (4.7) with (5.2) proves (M, ds^2) to be flat. As (M, ds^2) is not flat, we conclude $\alpha(\mathfrak{m}) \neq \mathfrak{p}$. In particular, $\varepsilon_x \alpha(\mathfrak{m}) \neq \mathfrak{m}$, i.e., $\varepsilon_x \alpha$ does not preserve the -1 eigenspace of σ_x , so $\varepsilon_x \alpha$ does not commute with σ_x .

Lemma 8.8 allows us to use the same argument for α^*, \mathfrak{m}^* and \mathfrak{p}^* . q.e.d.

If $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is not simple, Lemma 8.7 tells us $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{l}^{\mathbb{C}}$ where \mathfrak{l} is compact simple and $\sigma_x \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{l}^{\mathbb{C}})$. However, it is conceivable that our extension $\varepsilon_x \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{g})$ of $f_x: \mathfrak{p} \cong \mathfrak{m}$ be complex antilinear. Should that be the case, note that the Cartan involution θ is complex antilinear on $\mathfrak{l}^{\mathbb{C}}$, so $\varepsilon_x \theta \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{l}^{\mathbb{C}})$. Thus either

$$(8.14a) \quad \varepsilon_x \in \text{Aut}_C(\mathfrak{l}^C) \quad \text{and we denote} \quad \varepsilon'_x = \varepsilon_x \in \text{Aut}_C(\mathfrak{l}^C),$$

or

$$(8.14b) \quad \varepsilon_x \notin \text{Aut}_C(\mathfrak{l}^C) \quad \text{and we denote} \quad \varepsilon'_x = \varepsilon_x \theta \in \text{Aut}_C(\mathfrak{l}^C).$$

8.15. Lemma. *Let $[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \cap \mathfrak{p} = 0$. If \mathfrak{g}^C is simple, then $\text{Int}(\mathfrak{g}^C)$, $\sigma_x \cdot \text{Int}(\mathfrak{g}^C)$ and $\varepsilon_x \cdot \text{Int}(\mathfrak{g}^C)$ are three distinct components of $\text{Aut}_C(\mathfrak{g}^C)$. If \mathfrak{g}^C is not simple, so $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{l}^C$ with \mathfrak{l} compact simple, then $\text{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$, $\sigma_x \cdot \text{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\varepsilon'_x \cdot \text{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$ are three distinct components of $\text{Aut}_C(\mathfrak{l}^C)$.*

Proof. First consider the case where \mathfrak{g}^C is simple. Then \mathfrak{g}^* is simple and (M^*, dt^2) is irreducible. Every nonzero element of \mathfrak{p}^* is a never-vanishing vector field on M^* , so the Euler-Poincaré characteristic $\chi(M^*) = 0$. That implies $\text{rank } G^* > \text{rank } K^*$, so σ_x is an outer automorphism on \mathfrak{g}^* . Now $\sigma_x \notin \text{Int}(\mathfrak{g}^C)$.

If ε_x is an inner automorphism of \mathfrak{g}^C , then it is inner on \mathfrak{g}^* giving $\alpha^* = \varepsilon_x^{-1} \in \text{Int}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ such that $\varepsilon_x \alpha^*$ commutes with σ_x . Thus Lemma 8.13 forces $\varepsilon_x \notin \text{Int}(\mathfrak{g}^C)$.

It σ_x and ε_x differ by an inner automorphism of \mathfrak{g}^C , then $\alpha^* = \varepsilon_x^{-1} \sigma_x \in \text{Int}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ such that $\varepsilon_x \alpha^*$ commutes with σ_x . Thus Lemma 8.13 forces $\sigma_x \cdot \text{Int}(\mathfrak{g}^C) \cap \varepsilon_x \cdot \text{Int}(\mathfrak{g}^C)$ to be empty.

The assertions are proved for \mathfrak{g}^C simple. Now suppose \mathfrak{g}^C to be not simple. Then $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{l}^C$ with \mathfrak{l} compact simple and $\sigma_x \in \text{Aut}_C(\mathfrak{l}^C)$ by Lemma 8.7, and we have $\varepsilon'_x \in \text{Aut}_C(\mathfrak{l}^C)$ as in (8.14). Now $\mathfrak{g}^* \cong \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{l}$ with each summand stable under σ_x , so the argument for simple \mathfrak{g}^C shows σ_x to be outer on each summand of \mathfrak{g}^* . It follows that σ_x is outer on $\mathfrak{l}^C = \mathfrak{g}$, i.e., that $\sigma_x \notin \text{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$.

If ε'_x is inner on \mathfrak{l}^C then $\alpha' = \varepsilon'_x{}^{-1} \in \text{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\varepsilon'_x \alpha'$ commutes with σ_x . From (8.5c) we see that θ is induced by an isometry of (M, ds^2) . Thus $\varepsilon_x \alpha$ commutes with σ_x , where either $\alpha = \alpha'$ or $\alpha = \theta \alpha'$, and where α is induced by an isometry of (M, ds^2) . That contradicts Lemma 8.13, forcing $\varepsilon'_x \notin \text{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$. A similar modification of the argument for simple \mathfrak{g}^C proves $\sigma_x \cdot \text{Int}(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \varepsilon'_x \cdot \text{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$ to be empty.

The assertions are proved for \mathfrak{g}^C non-simple. q.e.d.

Given integers $p, q \geq 0$ and a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_{p+q}\}$ of R^{p+q} we have the symmetric nondegenerate bilinear form $b_{p,q}$ on R^{p+q} given by

$$b_{p,q} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{p+q} a^i e_i, \sum_{j=1}^{p+q} c^j e_j \right) = \sum_{k=1}^p a^k c^k - \sum_{k=1}^q a^{p+k} c^{p+k}.$$

Now denote

$$\text{O}(p, q): \quad \text{real orthogonal group of } b_{p,q},$$

so the usual orthogonal group in m real variables is $\text{O}(m) = \text{O}(m, 0)$. Now $\text{O}(p, q)$ has four components if $pq \neq 0$, and two components if $pq = 0$. Denote

$SO(p, q)$: identity component of $O(p, q)$,
 $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$: Lie algebra of $O(p, q)$.

Then of course

$$SO(m) = SO(m, 0) , \quad \mathfrak{so}(m) = \mathfrak{so}(m, 0) .$$

Consider the $(p + q - 1)$ -manifold

$$SO(p, q)(e_i) \cong SO(p, q)/SO(p - 1, q) , \quad p \geq 1 ;$$

$b_{p,q}$ induces a pseudo-riemannian metric of signature $(p - 1, q)$ and constant curvature 1 under which it is globally symmetric, and the case $q = 0$ is the sphere $S^{p-1} = SO(p)/SO(p - 1)$. We also have

$$SO(p, q)(e_{p+q}) \cong SO(p, q)/SO(p, q - 1) , \quad q \geq 1 ;$$

there $b_{p,q}$ induces a globally symmetric pseudo-riemannian metric of signature $(p, q - 1)$ and constant curvature -1 , and the case $q = 1$ is the real hyperbolic space $H^p = SO(p, 1)/SO(p)$. Finally denote

$$O(m, C) = O(m)^C \quad \text{complex orthogonal group of } b_{p,m-p} ;$$

$$SO(m, C) = SO(m)^C \quad \text{identity component; and}$$

$$\mathfrak{so}(m, C) = \mathfrak{so}(m)^C \quad \text{Lie algebra of } SO(m, C) .$$

Viewing $R^{p+q} \subset C^{p+q}$ we have $(m = p + q)$

$$SO(m, C)(e_i) \cong SO(m, C)/SO(m - 1, C) ,$$

globally symmetric pseudo-riemannian manifold of signature $(m - 1, m - 1)$ and nonconstant curvature, affine complexification of S^{m-1} .

Finally we have our classification. Recall that we are using the notation

- G : group of all isometries of (M, ds^2) ;
- \mathfrak{g} : Lie algebra of G , Killing fields of (M, ds^2) ;
- $x \in M$ and $K = \{g \in G : g(x) = x\}$ so $M = G/K$;
- $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{m}$: decomposition under symmetry σ_x ;
- \mathfrak{p} : the LTS of ϕ -parallel vector fields on M .

8.16. Theorem. *Let (M, ds^2) be an irreducible simply connected globally symmetric pseudo-riemannian manifold with consistent absolute parallelism ϕ . If $[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \cap \mathfrak{p} \neq 0$, then (M, ϕ, ds^2) is a group manifold as in Proposition 8.2. If $[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \cap \mathfrak{p} = 0$, then there are just three cases, all of which occur, as follows.*

Case 1. $M = SO(8)/SO(7)$, the sphere S^7 , and ds^2 is a positive or negative multiple of the $SO(8)$ -invariant riemannian metric of constant curvature 1. Here $G = O(8)$ and $K = O(7)$, 2-component groups.

Case 2. $M = SO(4, 4)/SO(3, 4)$, diffeomorphic to $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}^4$, and ds^2 is a positive or negative multiple of the $SO(4, 4)$ -invariant pseudo-riemannian metric of signature $(3, 4)$ and constant curvature 1. Here $G = O(4, 4)$ and $K = O(3, 4)$, 4-component groups.

Case 3. $M = SO(8, \mathbb{C})/SO(7, \mathbb{C})$, affine complexification of S^7 and diffeomorphic to $S^7 \times \mathbb{R}^7$, and ds^2 is a multiple of the nonconstant curvature metric of signature $(7, 7)$ induced by the Killing form of $SO(8, \mathbb{C})$. Here

$$G = O(8, \mathbb{C}) \cup \nu \cdot O(8, \mathbb{C}), \quad K = O(7, \mathbb{C}) \cup \nu \cdot O(7, \mathbb{C}),$$

where ν is complex conjugation of \mathbb{C}^8 over \mathbb{R}^8 (so that conjugation by ν is a Cartan involution θ of G_0).

All possibilities for ϕ are as follows. There is a triality automorphism ε of order 3 on \mathfrak{g} with fixed point set $\mathfrak{g}^{\varepsilon}$ of type G_2 such that both ε and σ_x commute with a Cartan involution θ . Denote

$$\mathfrak{p}_0 = \varepsilon^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}) \quad \text{so that} \quad [\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathfrak{p}_0] = \varepsilon^{-1}(\mathfrak{k}),$$

and observe that

$$\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathfrak{k}) \text{ is the image of the spin representation of } \mathfrak{k}.$$

Denote

$$J = \{j \in G: \text{ad}(j)\mathfrak{p}_0 = \mathfrak{p}_0\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{p}_r = \text{ad}(g)\mathfrak{p}_0 \text{ for } r = gJ \in G/J.$$

Then J_0 is the analytic subgroup of G for $\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathfrak{k})$, and

(i) $J = \{\pm I_8\} \cdot J_0$ 2-component group in cases 1 and 2, $J = \{\pm I_8, \pm \nu\} \cdot J_0$ 4-component group in case 3;

(ii) the $\mathfrak{p}_r, r \in G/J$, are mutually inequivalent under the action of G ;

(iii) if $r \in G/J$ then there is an absolute parallelism ϕ_r on M consistent with ds^2 whose LTS is \mathfrak{p}_r ;

(iv) every absolute parallelism on M consistent with ds^2 is in the 7-parameter⁴ family $\{\phi_r\}_{r \in G/J}$;

(v) the parameter space G/J of $\{\phi_r\}$ is diffeomorphic (via ε) to the disjoint union of two copies of $M/\{\pm I_8\}$; and

(vi) J_0 is transitive on M .

Proof. If $[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \cap \mathfrak{p} \neq 0$, we apply Proposition 8.2. Now suppose $[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \cap \mathfrak{p} = 0$.

First, consider the case where \mathfrak{g} is a compact simple Lie algebra. Then $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is simple and Lemma 8.15 says that $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}})/\text{Int}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}})$ has order ≥ 3 , so $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{g})/\text{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$ has order ≥ 3 . This implies that \mathfrak{g} is of Cartan classification type D_4 , i.e., $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(8)$. Again by Lemma 8.15, ε_x is triality, and σ_x is outer

⁴ The parameters are real in cases 1 and 2, and complex in case 3.

on \mathfrak{g} , so the possibilities for \mathfrak{f} are $\mathfrak{so}(7)$ and $\mathfrak{so}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(5)$. In the latter case \mathfrak{f} and $\varepsilon_x(\mathfrak{f})$ would be $\text{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$ -conjugate, so we would have $\alpha \in \text{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$ with $\varepsilon_x \alpha(\mathfrak{f}) = \mathfrak{f}$; then $\varepsilon_x \alpha$ commutes with σ_x in violation of Lemma 8.13. Thus $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{so}(7)$ and $M = SO(8)/SO(7) = S^7$, as in case 1. Invariance forces ds^2 to be a multiple of the standard riemannian metric $d\sigma^2$ of constant curvature 1. Then $(M, d\sigma^2)$ and (M, ds^2) have the same isometry group, so $G = O(8)$, whence $K = O(7)$.

Second, consider the case where \mathfrak{g} is noncompact but \mathfrak{g}^C is simple. Then \mathfrak{g}^* is simple. Lemma 8.8 and the argument for compact simple \mathfrak{g} show that $\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{so}(8)$, $\mathfrak{f}^* = \mathfrak{so}(7)$ and $M^* = S^7$, and that ε_x is triality on \mathfrak{g}^* . The noncompact real forms of $\mathfrak{so}(8, C)$ are the $\mathfrak{so}(p, 8 - p)$, $1 \leq p \leq 4$; the real form $\mathfrak{so}^*(8)$ whose maximal compactly embedded subalgebra is the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}(4)$ of the unitary group in four complex variables, is triality-equivalent to $\mathfrak{so}(2, 6)$. However \mathfrak{g} is stable under the triality automorphism ε_x of $\mathfrak{g}^C = \mathfrak{so}(8, C)$. Let $Y = G_0/L$, irreducible symmetric space of noncompact type where L is a maximal compact subgroup of G_0 ; now ε_x induces an isometry e of Y . Let $e = ab$ where $a \in G_0$ and $b(1 \cdot L) = 1 \cdot L$; then conjugation by b induces an automorphism β of \mathfrak{l} which extends to a triality automorphism of \mathfrak{g} , so β^2 is an outer automorphism of \mathfrak{l} . If β is an automorphism of $\mathfrak{so}(7)$, of $\mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(6)$, or of $\mathfrak{so}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(5)$, then β^2 is inner. We conclude that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(4, 4)$, which in fact does admit triality from the split Cayley algebra. Thus $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{so}(3, 4)$, $M = SO(4, 4)/SO(3, 4)$, and ds^2 , G and K are specified as in case 2.

Third, consider the case where \mathfrak{g}^C is not simple. Lemma 8.7 says $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{l}^C$ with \mathfrak{l} compact simple, $\mathfrak{f} = (\mathfrak{f} \cap \mathfrak{l})^C$, $\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{l}$ and $\mathfrak{f}^* = (\mathfrak{f} \cap \mathfrak{l}) \oplus (\mathfrak{f} \cap \mathfrak{l})$. The argument for compact simple \mathfrak{g} says $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{so}(8)$, $\mathfrak{f} \cap \mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{so}(7)$ and $M^* = S^7 \times S^7$. Thus $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(8, C)$, $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{so}(7, C)$ and $M = SO(8, C)/SO(7, C)$. Now ds^2 , G and K are specified as in case 3.

It remains to verify the assertions on the construction of all consistent absolute parallelisms for the spaces (M, ds^2) of cases 1, 2 and 3.

Let $M = G/K$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{f} + \mathfrak{m}$ as in case 1, 2 or 3 of the theorem. Then \mathfrak{g} admits a triality automorphism ε of order 3 with fixed point set \mathfrak{g}^e of type G_2 [12, Table 7.14]. Fix a Cartan involution θ of \mathfrak{g} which commutes with σ_x . As $\varepsilon^3 = 1$, ε is a semisimple automorphism of \mathfrak{g} , so we may replace ε by an $\text{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$ -conjugate if necessary to arrange $\varepsilon\theta = \theta\varepsilon$. That done we use θ to construct a compact real form $\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{f}^* + \mathfrak{m}^*$ of \mathfrak{g}^C as in (8.5) and (8.6), and ε extends by linearity to \mathfrak{g}^C preserving \mathfrak{g}^* . Define $\mathfrak{p}_0 = \varepsilon^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})$ as prescribed; then $\mathfrak{p}_0^* = \mathfrak{p}_0^C \cap \mathfrak{g}^*$ is $\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}^*)$.

Let κ denote the Killing form on \mathfrak{g} . We need to prove the following facts:

$$(8.17a) \quad (1 - \sigma_x)\mathfrak{p}_0 = \mathfrak{m}, \quad (1 - \sigma_x)[\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathfrak{p}_0] = \mathfrak{m}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$(8.17b) \quad \text{if } \xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{p}_0, \quad \text{then } \kappa(\xi, \eta) = \kappa((1 - \sigma_x)\xi, (1 - \sigma_x)\eta).$$

To do this we note that $\mathfrak{g}^e = \mathfrak{f} \cap \varepsilon^{-1}(\mathfrak{f})$, so the orthocomplement of \mathfrak{g}^e in \mathfrak{g}

relative to κ is $\mathfrak{f}^\perp + \varepsilon^{-1}(\mathfrak{f}^\perp) = \mathfrak{m} + \varepsilon^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}) = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{p}_0$. Now ε^{-1} is a rotation by $2\pi/3$ on $\mathfrak{m}^* + \mathfrak{p}_0^*$. As $\frac{1}{2}(1 - \sigma_x)$ is the orthogonal projection of $\mathfrak{m}^* + \mathfrak{p}_0^*$ to \mathfrak{m}^* , that says $\kappa(\xi, \eta) = \kappa((1 - \sigma_x)\xi, (1 - \sigma_x)\eta)$ for $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{p}_0^*$. The same follows by linearity for $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{p}_0'$, and thus for $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{p}_0$. That proves (8.17b), and the first assertion of (8.17a) follows. Let \dim denote $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}$ in cases 1 and 2, and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}$ in case 3. Then $\dim \mathfrak{g} = 28$, $\dim \mathfrak{f} = 21$, $\dim \mathfrak{g}' = 14$ and $\dim \mathfrak{m} = 7$. Thus $\dim(1 - \sigma_x)[\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathfrak{p}_0] = \dim \varepsilon^{-1}(\mathfrak{f}) - \dim \mathfrak{g}' = 21 - 14 = 7 = \dim \mathfrak{m}$, proving the second part of (8.17a). Now (8.17) is verified.

As prescribed, let J be the normalizer of \mathfrak{p}_0 in G . As \mathfrak{f} is the normalizer of \mathfrak{m} in \mathfrak{g} , so $[\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathfrak{p}_0] = \varepsilon^{-1}(\mathfrak{f})$ is the Lie algebra of J , and assertion (i) on the structure of J follows.

Let $j \in J$ and $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{p}_0$, and let β be the multiple of κ that induces ds^2 . We compute

$$\begin{aligned} 4ds_{j(x)}^2(\xi, \eta) &= 4ds_x^2(\text{ad}(j)^{-1}\xi, \text{ad}(j)^{-1}\eta) \\ &= 4\beta(\frac{1}{2}(1 - \sigma_x) \text{ad}(j)^{-1}\xi, \frac{1}{2}(1 - \sigma_x) \text{ad}(j)^{-1}\eta) \\ &= \beta((1 - \sigma_x) \text{ad}(j)^{-1}\xi, (1 - \sigma_x) \text{ad}(j)^{-1}\eta) \\ &= \beta(\text{ad}(j)^{-1}\xi, \text{ad}(j)^{-1}\eta) = \beta(\xi, \eta), \end{aligned}$$

which is independent of $j \in J$. Thus $ds^2(\xi, \eta)$ is constant on $J(x)$. However (8.17a) says that the Lie algebra $[\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathfrak{p}_0]$ of J orthogonally projects onto \mathfrak{m} . Thus $J(x)$ is open in M . Now choose a basis $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\}$ of \mathfrak{p}_0 . We have just checked that the $ds^2(\xi_i, \xi_j)$ are constant on the open set $J(x) \subset M$. Now $(1 - \sigma_x)\mathfrak{p}_0 = \mathfrak{m}$ shows that $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\}$ is a global frame on $J(x)$. Thus Corollary 4.15 says that there is an absolute parallelism ψ on the connected manifold $J_0(x)$, consistent with ds^2 there, for which the ξ_i are parallel. Lemma 6.4 says that (M, ds^2) has an absolute parallelism ϕ_0 such that the $\xi|_{J_0(x)}$, $\xi \in \mathfrak{p}_0$, are ϕ_0 -parallel on $J_0(x)$. By analyticity, or because ϕ_0 -parallel fields are Killing vector fields, now \mathfrak{p}_0 is the LTS of all ϕ_0 -parallel vector fields on M .

If $r = gJ \in G/J$, we define $\mathfrak{p}_r = \text{ad}(g)\mathfrak{p}_0$ as specified. Then $\phi_r = g(\phi_0)$ is an absolute parallelism on M consistent with ds^2 , and its LTS is $\text{ad}(g)\mathfrak{p}_0 = \mathfrak{p}_r$. This gives us our 7-parameter family $\{\phi_r\}$ of absolute parallelisms consistent with ds^2 .

We check that the original absolute parallelism ϕ on M is contained in the family $\{\phi_r\}$. Let $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ denote $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{g})$ in cases 1 and 2, and $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{g})$ in case 3. Then $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})/\text{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the group of order 6 given by $e^3 = s^2 = 1$, $ses^{-1} = e^{-1}$. Here s represents the component of σ_x , and e the component of ε . Thus ε_x (or ε'_x in case 3) is in a component represented by e, es, ses^{-1} or se . Now there are isometries $g, b \in G$ of (M, ds^2) such that $\varepsilon_x = \text{ad}(b) \cdot \varepsilon \cdot \text{ad}(g)^{-1}$ and either $b = 1$ or $b = s_x$ symmetry. Let $r = gJ \in G/J$. Then $\mathfrak{p} = \varepsilon_x^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}) = \text{ad}(g) \cdot \varepsilon^{-1} \cdot \text{ad}(b^{-1})(\mathfrak{m}) = \text{ad}(g)\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}) = \text{ad}(g)\mathfrak{p}_0 = \mathfrak{p}_r$. Thus $\phi = \phi_r$.

Assertion (ii) on the structure of J and $\{\phi_r\}$ is immediate from the definition of J . We have just proved assertions (iii) and (iv). Now (i), (v) and (vi) remain.

Let $N = G_0/J_0$, and let β be the multiple of the Killing form of \mathfrak{g} which induces ds^2 on M . Then β induces a metric du^2 on N , and ε induces an isometry of (N, du^2) onto (M, ds^2) . If $g \in G$, we notice that $\text{ad}(g)^2$ is an inner automorphism of \mathfrak{g} . If h is an isometry of (N, du^2) , it follows that $\text{ad}(h)^2$ is an inner automorphism of \mathfrak{g} . Thus $p_0 \neq \text{ad}(g)\varepsilon^{-1}(p_0)$ whenever $g \in G_0$, for $(\text{ad}(g)\varepsilon^{-1})^2$ is outer on \mathfrak{g} . If J meets $s_x G_0$, say $gs_x \in J$ where $g \in G_0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} p_0 &= \text{ad}(g)\sigma_x(p_0) = \text{ad}(g)\sigma_x\varepsilon^{-1}(m) = \text{ad}(g)\varepsilon\sigma_x(m) \\ &= \text{ad}(g)\varepsilon(m) = \text{ad}(g)\varepsilon^2(p_0) = \text{ad}(g)\varepsilon^{-1}(p_0), \end{aligned}$$

which was just seen impossible. Thus

$$(8.18a) \quad J \text{ does not meet the component } s_x G_0 \text{ of } G.$$

The $\text{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$ -normalizer of \mathfrak{m} is the connected group $\text{ad}(K_0 \cup (-I_8)K_0)$, so the normalizer of $p_0 = \varepsilon^{-1}(m)$ in $\text{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$ is $\text{ad}(J_0 \cup (-I_8)J_0)$. Thus

$$(8.18b) \quad J \cap G_0 = \begin{cases} \{\pm I_8\} \cdot J_0 & \text{(2 components) in cases 1 and 3,} \\ J_0 & \text{(connected) in case 2.} \end{cases}$$

Note $\nu \in J$ in case 3. Denote

$$J' = \{\pm I_8\} \cdot J_0 \text{ in cases 1 and 2, and } J' = \{\pm I_8, \pm \nu\} \cdot J_0 \text{ in case 3.}$$

J' meets one of the two components of G in case 1, and meets two of the four components of G in cases 2 and 3. Thus $G/J'G_0$ has order 2. But (8.18a) says that G/JG_0 has order ≥ 2 . As $J' \subset J$, now $JG_0 = J'G_0$. However, (8.18b) says $J \cap G_0 = J' \cap G_0$. We conclude $J = J'$, thus proving assertion (i) on the structure of J .

In view of (i), G/J is the disjoint union of two copies of $G_0/(J \cap G_0) = G_0/\{\pm I_8\} \cdot J_0$. Since the isometry $(N, du^2) \rightarrow (M, ds^2)$ induced by ε , where $N = G_0/J_0$, induces a diffeomorphism of $G_0/\{\pm I_8\} \cdot J_0$ onto $M/\{\pm I_8\}$. Assertion (v) follows.

Recall that the Lie algebra $\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathfrak{f})$ of J is the image of the spin representation of \mathfrak{f} . Thus

$$(8.19a) \quad J_0 = \text{Spin}(7), \text{Spin}(3,4), \text{Spin}(7, C) \text{ in cases 1, 2, 3.}$$

Recall also that $\mathfrak{k} \cap \varepsilon^{-1}(\mathfrak{f}) = \mathfrak{g}^c$ algebra of type G_2 . Let G_2 denote the compact connected group of that type, G_2^c the complex connected group of that type, and G_2^* the analytic subgroup of G_2^c which is the noncompact real form. Now

$$(8.19b) \quad (J \cap K)_0 = G_2, G_2^*, G_2^c \text{ in cases 1, 2, 3.}$$

Now count dimensions, or recall from (8.17a), to see that

$J_0(x)$ is open in M .

In case 1, where J_0 is compact, this give us $J_0(x) = M$.

In cases 2 and 3, we choose a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_8\}$ of the ambient space R^8 or C^8 of M such that the e_k are mutually orthogonal, each $\|e_k\|^2 = |b(e_k, e_k)| = 1$, and

case 2: $U = e_1R + e_2R + e_3R + e_4R$ is positive definite, and
 $V = e_5R + e_6R + e_7R + e_8R$ is negative definite;

case 3: $U = e_1R + \dots + e_8R$ is positive definite, and so
 $V = iU = ie_1R + \dots + ie_8R$ is negative definite.

Then

$$e_1 \in M = \{u + v : u \in U, v \in V \text{ and } \|u\|^2 - \|v\|^2 = 1\}.$$

Given real $r > s \geq 0$ with $r^2 - s^2 = 1$ we define

$$S_{r,s} = \{u + v : u \in U, v \in V, \|u\|^2 = r^2 \text{ and } \|v\|^2 = s^2\}.$$

Now M is the disjoint union of the $S_{r,s}$.

As J_0 is noncompact semisimple, its Lie algebra has an element $w \neq 0$ which is diagonalizable with all eigenvalues real. The eigenvalues come in pairs $\{h, -h\}$ by (8.19a). Renormalizing w , now we may assume $\{e_1, \dots, e_8\}$ chosen so that

case 2: $w(e_1 + e_5) = e_1 + e_5$ and $w(e_1 - e_5) = -(e_1 - e_5)$;
 case 3: $w(e_1 + ie_2) = e_1 + ie_2$ and $w(e_1 - ie_2) = -(e_1 - ie_2)$.

Now by direct calculation

$$\exp(tw) \cdot e_1 \in S_{\cosh(t), \sinh(t)}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Thus $J_0(e_1)$ meets each of the sets $S_{r,s}$.

Let $H = \{g \in J_0 : g(U) = U\}$. Then also $g(V) = V$ for $g \in H$, and H is the maximal compact subgroup

Spin (3) · Spin (4) in case 2, Spin (7) in case 3.

In case 2 the Spin (3)-factor on H is transitive on the sphere $\|u\|^2 = r^2$ in U , and the Spin (4)-factor is transitive on the sphere $\|v\|^2 = s^2$ in V . Thus H is transitive on each $S_{r,s}$. As $J_0(e_1)$ meets each $S_{r,s}$, now $J_0(e_1) = M$.

In case 3, H is transitive on the sphere $\|u\|^2 = r^2$ in U , and the subgroup H_1 preserving e_1 is G_2 by (8.19b). Thus H_1 is transitive on the spheres $\|v_1\|^2 = s_1^2$ in $i(e_2R + e_3R + \dots + e_8R)$. If $z \in S_{r,s}$, then some element of H carries z to $z' = re_1 + i(ae_1 + be_2)$ where $b \geq 0$ and $a^2 + b^2 = s^2$. However, $z' \in M$ says

$(r + ia)^2 + (ib)^2 = 1$ so $ra = 0$; as $r > 0$ now $a = 0$; thus $z' = re_1 + ise_2$. Choose $t \geq 0$ such that $r = \cosh(t)$, so $s = \sinh(t)$; now

$$z' = \cosh(t)e_1 + i \sinh(t)e_2 = \exp(tw) \cdot e_1.$$

Thus $J_0(e_1) = M$, and (vi) is proved, completing the proof of Theorem 8.16.

9. Global classification of reductive parallelisms

Theorems 7.6 and 8.16 completely describe the possibilities for the (M_i, ϕ_i, ds_i^2) in Theorem 6.7. Splitting the flat factor as in the proof of Proposition 7.5, we thus reformulate Theorem 6.7 as follows.

9.1. Theorem. *Let (M, ϕ, ds^2) be a connected manifold with absolute parallelism and consistent pseudo-riemannian metric such that ϕ is of reductive type relative to ds^2 . Then there exist*

- (1) *unique integers $t \geq u \geq 0$,*
- (2) *simply connected globally symmetric pseudo-riemannian manifolds (M_i, ds_i^2) , $-1 \leq i \leq t$, unique up to global isometry and permutations of $\{1, 2, \dots, u\}$ and $\{u + 1, u + 2, \dots, t\}$, and*
- (3) *absolute parallelisms ϕ_i on M_i consistent with ds_i^2 and unique up to global isometry, such that the (M_i, ϕ_i, ds_i^2) and*

$$(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\phi}, d\sigma^2) = (M_{-1}, \phi_{-1}, ds_{-1}^2) \times \dots \times (M_t, \phi_t, ds_t^2)$$

have the following properties:

(i) For $-1 \leq i \leq u$, M_i is the simply connected group for a real Lie algebra \mathfrak{p}_i , ϕ_i is its absolute parallelism of left translation, and ds_i^2 is the bi-invariant metric induced by a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form b_i on \mathfrak{p}_i . Here $(\mathfrak{p}_{-1}, b_{-1})$ is obtained as in (7.2) and (7.4a), and \mathfrak{p}_{-1} has center \mathfrak{g}_{-1}^\perp relative to b_{-1} ; so (M_{-1}, ds_{-1}^2) is flat. \mathfrak{p}_0 is commutative, so (M_0, ds_0^2) is flat and ϕ_0 is its euclidean parallelism. If $1 \leq i \leq u$, then \mathfrak{p}_i is simple and b_i is a nonzero real multiple of its real Killing form, so (M_i, ds_i^2) is irreducible.

(ii) For $u + 1 \leq i \leq t$, M_i is one of the symmetric coset spaces G_0/K_0 given by

$SO(8)/SO(7)$	ordinary	7-sphere,
$SO(4, 4)/SO(3, 4)$	indefinite	7-sphere, or
$SO(8, C)/SO(7, C)$	complexified	7-sphere;

ds_i^2 is induced by a nonzero real multiple of the real Killing form of G_0 , and ϕ_i comes from a triality automorphism of \mathfrak{g} as in Theorem 8.16.

(iii) Every $x \in M$ has a neighborhood U and an isometry $h: (U, ds^2) \rightarrow (\tilde{U}, d\sigma^2)$, \tilde{U} open in \tilde{M} , such that h sends $\phi|_U$ to $\tilde{\phi}|_{\tilde{U}}$.

(iv) If ϕ is complete, i.e., if (M, ds^2) is complete, then there is a pseudo-riemannian covering $\pi: (\tilde{M}, d\sigma^2) \rightarrow (M, ds^2)$ which sends $\tilde{\phi}$ to ϕ .

We draw two corollaries of Theorems 3.8, 7.6 and 8.16 which complement the statement of Theorem 9.1.

9.2. Corollary. *Let $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\phi}, d\sigma^2)$ be a complete simply connected pseudo-riemannian manifold with consistent absolute parallelism of reductive type.*

(i) *Then the group of all isometries g of $(\tilde{M}, d\sigma^2)$ such that $g(\tilde{\phi}) = \tilde{\phi}$ is transitive on \tilde{M} .*

(ii) *If $(\tilde{M}, d\sigma^2)$ has no euclidean (flat) factor, and $\tilde{\psi}$ is another absolute parallelism consistent with $d\sigma^2$, then $(\tilde{M}, d\sigma^2)$ has an isometry g such that $(g\tilde{\psi}) = \tilde{\phi}$.*

Proof. $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\phi}, d\sigma^2)$ is the product of the (M_i, ϕ_i, ds_i^2) , $-1 \leq i \leq t$, as in Theorem 9.1. If $-1 \leq i \leq u$ there, then the left translations of the group manifold M_i are transitive and preserve ϕ_i . If $u+1 \leq i \leq t$, then the required transitivity is the transitivity of the group J in Theorem 8.16. Thus (i) holds for each (M_i, ϕ_i, ds_i^2) , and thus for $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\phi}, d\sigma^2)$. Similarly, (ii) follows from Proposition 8.2 and Theorem 8.16.

9.3. Corollary. *Let ds^2 be of signature $(n-q, q)$ or $(q, n-q)$, $0 \leq q \leq 2$, in Theorem 9.1.*

(i) *M_{-1} is reduced to a point, i.e., the parallelism on the flat factor of $(\tilde{M}, d\sigma^2)$ is euclidean.*

(ii) *At most q of the simple group manifolds M_i ($1 \leq i \leq u$) are noncompact. Each noncompact one is the universal covering group of $SL(2, R)$.*

(iii) *Each of the quadrics M_i ($u+1 \leq i \leq t$) is an ordinary 7-sphere.*

(iv) *If $\tilde{\psi}$ is any absolute parallelism on \tilde{M} consistent with $d\sigma^2$, then $(\tilde{M}, d\sigma^2)$ has an isometry g such that $(g\tilde{\psi}) = \tilde{\phi}$.*

Proof. If M_{-1} is not reduced to a point, then \mathfrak{p}_{-1} is nonabelian by the normalization $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1}^\perp$ (rel. b_{-1}) of Theorem 9.1 (i). Then the 3-form τ in the construction (7.2) of \mathfrak{p}_{-1} must be nonzero. But τ is a 3-form on an r -dimensional vector space where ds_{-1}^2 has signature (r, r) . The latter implies $r \leq 2$ so $\tau = 0$. Assertion (i) follows.

Let the simple group manifold M_i ($1 \leq i \leq u$) be noncompact, and $\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{l}_i + \mathfrak{q}_i$ the decomposition of its Lie algebra under a Cartan involution. If $l_i = \dim \mathfrak{l}_i$ and $q_i = \dim \mathfrak{q}_i$, then ds_i^2 has signature (l_i, q_i) or (q_i, l_i) . Thus either $l_i \leq 2$ or $q_i \leq 2$. If $l_i \leq 2$, then \mathfrak{l}_i has no simple ideal, so \mathfrak{l}_i is 1-dimensional by simplicity of \mathfrak{p}_i ; then R -irreducibility of \mathfrak{l}_i on \mathfrak{q}_i implies $q_i \leq 2$. If $q_i \leq 2$, the symmetric space of noncompact type associated to \mathfrak{p}_i must have constant curvature and therefore must be the real hyperbolic plane, so \mathfrak{p}_i is the Lie algebra of $SL(2, R)$. Each such M_i contributes $(1, 2)$ or $(2, 1)$ to the signature of ds^2 , so at most q occur. Assertion (ii) is proved.

The quadric M_i ($u+1 \leq i \leq t$) have ds_i^2 of signature

$$\begin{aligned} SO(8)/SO(7): & \quad (7, 0) \quad \text{or} \quad (0, 7); \\ SO(4, 4)/SO(3/4): & \quad (3, 4) \quad \text{or} \quad (4, 3); \\ SO(8, C)/SO(7, C): & \quad (7, 7). \end{aligned}$$

The last two quadrics are excluded because $q < 3$. That leaves the 7-sphere, proving assertion (iii).

Let $\tilde{\psi}$ be another absolute parallelism on \tilde{M} consistent with $d\sigma^2$. Then $\tilde{\psi}$ is of reductive type by Lemma 6.2, and assertion (i) for $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\psi}, d\sigma^2)$ shows $\tilde{\psi}$ is euclidean on the flat factor of $(\tilde{M}, d\sigma^2)$. Thus Lemma 6.2 shows $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\psi}, d\sigma^2)$ to be the product of the (M_i, ψ_i, ds_i^2) for certain ψ_i with $\psi_0 = \phi_0$. Now assertion (iv) follows from Corollary 9.2. q.e.d.

Our goal now is a complete description of the possibilities for the coverings of Theorem 9.1 (4).

9.4. Lemma. *Let $\pi: (M', d\sigma^2) \rightarrow (M, ds^2)$ be a pseudo-riemannian covering, and ϕ an absolute parallelism on M consistent with ds^2 . Let \mathfrak{p} be the LTS of ϕ -parallel vector fields on M , and \mathfrak{p}' the space of all fields ξ' on M' with $\pi_*\xi'$ defined and in \mathfrak{p} .*

(i) *There is a unique absolute parallelism ϕ' on M' such that $\pi(\phi') = \phi$. It is consistent with $d\sigma^2$, and \mathfrak{p}' is its LTS of parallel vector fields.*

(ii) *If $\xi' \in \mathfrak{p}'$ and γ is a deck transformation of the covering, then $\gamma_*\xi' = \xi'$.*

Proof. Assertion (i) is immediate with ϕ' defined by the condition that \mathfrak{p}' be its LTS. Then $\pi_*: \mathfrak{p}' \cong \mathfrak{p}$, so as $\pi \circ \gamma = \pi$ implies $\pi_*\gamma_*\xi' = \pi_*\xi'$ we get $\gamma_*\xi' = \xi'$.

9.5. Proposition. *Let $(M', \phi', d\sigma^2)$ be a connected pseudo-riemannian manifold with consistent absolute parallelism, and Z be the Lie group of all isometries g of $(M', d\sigma^2)$ such that if ξ' is ϕ' -parallel then $g_*\xi' = \xi'$.*

(i) *If $1 \neq g \in Z$, then g has no fixed point on M' .*

(ii) *A subgroup of Z is discrete if, and only if, it acts freely and properly discontinuously on M' .*

(iii) *The normal pseudo-riemannian coverings $\pi: (M', d\sigma^2) \rightarrow (M, ds^2)$ such that $\pi(\phi')$ is a well-defined absolute parallelism on M are just the coverings $M' \rightarrow D \backslash M'$ where D is a discrete subgroup of Z .*

Proof. Let $g \in Z$ have a fixed point $x \in M'$. The tangent space M'_x consists of all ξ'_x with ξ' a ϕ' -parallel vector field. As each $g_*\xi'_x = \xi'_x$ now $g_*: M'_x \rightarrow M'_x$ identity map. Since g is an isometry and M' is connected, this shows $g = 1$, and hence (i) is proved.

Choose a basis $\{\xi'_1, \dots, \xi'_n\}$ of the space \mathfrak{p}' of parallel fields. Let $\{\theta^i\}$ be the dual 1-forms. If $g \in Z$ each $g^*\theta^i = \theta^i$, so g is an isometry of the riemannian metric $d\rho^2 = \Sigma(\theta^i)^2$. The topology on Z is the compact-open topology from its action on M' . Thus a subgroup $D \subset Z$ is discrete if and only if it acts properly discontinuously on M' ; it acts freely by (i). Hence (ii) is proved.

If $\pi(\phi') = \phi$ absolute parallelism on M , then ϕ is consistent with ds^2 and we are in the situation of Lemma 9.4. The covering being normal, $M = D \backslash M'$ where D is a group of homeomorphisms acting freely and properly discontinuously on M' . The elements of D are isometries of $(M', d\sigma^2)$ because π is pseudo-riemannian. Now $D \subset Z$ by Lemma 9.4, and D is discrete there by (ii). Conversely let $D \subset Z$ discrete subgroup. Then D acts freely and properly

discontinuously on M' by (ii), so $\pi: M' \rightarrow D \setminus M' = M$ is a normal covering. Since D acts by isometries, π is pseudo-riemannian and $\pi(\phi')$ is a well-defined parallelism by definition of Z . Hence (iii) is proved. q.e.d.

We collect the specific information needed to apply Proposition 9.5 in the complete reductive case.

9.6. Lemma. *Let $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\phi}, d\sigma^2)$ be a simply connected manifold with complete absolute parallelism of reductive type and consistent pseudo-riemannian metric. Let $Z(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\phi}, d\sigma^2)$ denote the Lie group of all isometries of $(\tilde{M}, d\sigma^2)$ which preserve every $\tilde{\phi}$ -parallel vector field. Decompose $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\phi}, d\sigma^2)$ as the product of the (M_i, ϕ_i, ds_i^2) , as in Theorem 9.1.*

(i) $Z(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\phi}, d\sigma^2)$ is the product of the $Z(M_i, \phi_i, ds_i^2)$.

(ii) If M_i is a group manifold (i.e., $-1 \leq i \leq u$), then $Z(M_i, \phi_i, ds_i^2)$ is its group of left translations.

(iii) If M_i is a quadric (i.e., $u+1 \leq i \leq t$), then $Z(M_i, \phi_i, ds_i^2) = \{\pm I_8\}$.

Proof. Let $g \in Z(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\phi}, d\sigma^2)$. Then g acts trivially on $\tilde{p} = p_{-1} \oplus p_0 \oplus p_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus p_t$, so it preserves each ideal p_i . Thus $g = g_{-1} \times g_0 \times \cdots \times g_t$ where $g_i \in Z(M_i, \phi_i, ds_i^2)$, and (i) is proved.

Let M_i be a group manifold, and L_i the group of its left translations. Then $L_i \subset Z(M_i, \phi_i, ds_i^2)$. If $g \in Z(M_i, \phi_i, ds_i^2)$, we have $h \in L_i$ such that $hg(1) = 1$. Since hg is an isometry and acts trivially on p_i , $hg = 1$, and thus $g = h^{-1} \in L_i$, proving (ii).

Let M_i be a quadric. Then the group G_i of all isometries of (M_i, ds_i^2) has Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_i = [p_i, p_i] + p_i$. Let $g \in Z(M_i, \phi_i, ds_i^2)$ and $\gamma = \text{ad}(g) \in \text{Aut}_R(\mathfrak{g}_i)$. Then γ is trivial on p_i , and hence also trivial on $[p_i, p_i]$, so $\gamma = 1$. Now g centralizes the identity component of G_i . A glance at Theorem 8.16 shows that this forces $g = \pm I_8$, proving (iii). q.e.d.

Now we combine Theorem 9.1, Proposition 9.5 and Lemma 9.6, obtaining the classification of complete parallelisms of reductive type.

9.7. Theorem. *The complete connected pseudo-riemannian manifolds with consistent absolute parallelism of reductive type are precisely the (M, ϕ, ds^2) constructed as follows.*

Step 1. $(M_{-1}, \phi_{-1}, ds_{-1}^2)$. Choose an integer $r \geq 0$, a real vector space \mathfrak{w} of dimension r , and an alternating trilinear form $\tau \in A^3(\mathfrak{w}^*)$ which is nondegenerate on \mathfrak{w} in the sense that if $0 \neq w \in \mathfrak{w}$, then $\tau(w, \mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{w}) \neq 0$. Let $p_{-1} = \mathfrak{g}(\tau, \mathfrak{w})$ as in construction (7.2). Let b_{-1} be the nondegenerate invariant bilinear form (7.4a) on p_{-1} . M_{-1} is the simply connected Lie group for p_{-1} , ϕ_{-1} is its parallelism of left translation, and ds_{-1}^2 is the bi-invariant metric induced by b_{-1} . Note that ds_{-1}^2 has signature $(p_{-1}, q_{-1}) = (r, r)$. Let Z_{-1} denote the group of left translations on M_{-1} .

Step 2. (M_0, ϕ_0, ds_0^2) . Choose integers $p_0, q_0 \geq 0$. M_0 is the real vector group of dimension $p_0 + q_0$, ϕ_0 is its (euclidean) parallelism of (left) translation, and ds_0^2 is a translation-invariant metric of signature (p_0, q_0) . Let Z_0 denote the group of all translations.

Step 3. The (M_i, ϕ_i, ds_i^2) for $1 \leq i \leq u$. Choose an integer $u \geq 0$. If $1 \leq i \leq u$, let \mathfrak{p}_i be a simple real Lie algebra, M_i the simply connected group for \mathfrak{p}_i , ϕ_i its parallelism of left translation, and ds_i^2 the bi-invariant metric induced by a nonzero real multiple of the Killing form of \mathfrak{p}_i . Let (p_i, q_i) denote the signature of ds_i^2 , and Z_i the group of left translations of M_i .

Step 4. The (M_i, ϕ_i, ds_i^2) for $u + 1 \leq i \leq t$. Choose an integer $t \geq u$. If $u + 1 \leq i \leq t$, let $M_i = G_i^0/K_i^0$ be one of

$$SO(8)/SO(7), \quad SO(4, 4)/SO(3, 4), \quad SO(8, C)/SO(7, C).$$

ds_i^2 is the invariant metric induced by a nonzero real multiple of the real Killing form of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_i of G_i^0 . Let σ be the conjugation of \mathfrak{g}_i by the symmetry at $1 \cdot K_i^0$, θ a Cartan involution of \mathfrak{g}_i which commutes with σ , and ε a triality automorphism of order 3 on \mathfrak{g}_i which commutes with θ and has a fixed point set of type G_2 . Then ϕ_i is the absolute parallelism on M_i whose LTS is $\mathfrak{p}_i = \{\varepsilon^{-1}(v) : v \in \mathfrak{g}_i \text{ and } \sigma(v) = -v\}$. Let (p_i, q_i) denote the signature of ds_i^2 , and Z_i the center $\{\pm I_8\}$ of the isometry group of (M_i, ds_i^2) .

Step 5. $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\phi}, d\sigma^2)$. Define $\tilde{M} = M_{-1} \times M_0 \times \cdots \times M_t$, $\tilde{\phi} = \phi_{-1} \times \phi_0 \times \cdots \times \phi_t$ and $d\sigma^2 = ds_{-1}^2 \times ds_0^2 \times \cdots \times ds_t^2$. Let $p = \sum p_i$ and $q = \sum q_i$; then $d\sigma^2$ has signature (p, q) . Denote $Z = Z_{-1} \times Z_0 \times \cdots \times Z_t$.

Step 6. $(M, \phi, ds^2) = D \backslash (\tilde{M}, \tilde{\phi}, d\sigma^2)$. Let $D \subset Z$ be a discrete subgroup, $M = D \backslash \tilde{M}$ quotient manifold, ϕ parallelism on M induced by $\tilde{\phi}$, and ds^2 the consistent pseudo-riemannian metric of signature (p, q) on M induced by $d\sigma^2$.

We close by examining the conditions on (M, ϕ, ds^2) under which (M, ds^2) may be globally symmetric, compact, riemannian, etc. Note that homogeneity is automatic: if (M, ϕ, ds^2) is complete and connected, then every ϕ -parallel vector field integrates to a 1-parameter group of isometries, and those isometries generate a transitive group.

9.8. Corollary. *The connected globally symmetric pseudo-riemannian manifolds with consistent absolute parallelism of reductive type are precisely the (M, ϕ, ds^2) constructed in Theorem 9.7 with the additional condition: for $-1 \leq i \leq u$ the projection of D to Z_i consists of translations by elements of the center of the group M_i .*

Remark. Here note that M_{-1} has center $\exp(i\pi^*)$, that M_0 is commutative, and that M_i has discrete center for $1 \leq i \leq u$.

Proof. Let $(M, \phi, ds^2) = D \backslash (\tilde{M}, \tilde{\phi}, d\sigma^2)$ in the notation of Theorem 9.7. Then (M, ds^2) is symmetric if, and only if, every symmetry s_x of $(\tilde{M}, d\sigma^2)$ induces a transformation of M . Thus the symmetry condition for (M, ds^2) is that every s_x permute the D -orbits, i.e., that every s_x normalize D in the isometry group of $(\tilde{M}, d\sigma^2)$. Let D_i be the projection of $D \subset Z = Z_{-1} \times \cdots \times Z_t$ to Z_i . Then (M, ds^2) is symmetric if, and only if, each D_i is normalized by every symmetry of (M_i, ds_i^2) .

If $u + 1 \leq i \leq t$, then $Z_i = \{\pm I_8\}$, center of the isometry group of (M_i, ds_i^2) , so D_i is centralized by every symmetry.

Let $-1 \leq i \leq u$. If $x, g \in M_i$, then the symmetry of (M_i, ds^2) at x conjugates left translation by g to right translation by $x^{-1}gx$. Thus D_i is normalized by the symmetries if, and only if, it consists of translation by central elements.

9.9. Corollary. *The compact connected pseudo-riemannian manifolds with consistent absolute parallelism of reductive type are precisely the (M, ϕ, ds^2) of Theorem 9.7 such that both Z/D and $Z \backslash \tilde{M}$ are compact. $Z \backslash \tilde{M}$ is compact if, and only if, each quadric M_i ($u+1 \leq i \leq t$) is an ordinary 7-sphere $SO(8)/SO(7)$. Z has a discrete subgroup D such that Z/D is compact if, and only if, the 3-form τ of the construction of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p}_{-1} = \mathfrak{g}(\tau, \mathfrak{w})$ of M_{-1} can be chosen with rational coefficients.*

Proof. We have a fibration $M = D \backslash \tilde{M} \rightarrow Z \backslash \tilde{M}$ with fibre Z/D . The total space M is compact if, and only if, both fibre Z/D and base $Z \backslash \tilde{M}$ are compact.

$Z \backslash \tilde{M}$ is the product of the $Z_i \backslash M_i$, hence is compact if and only if each $Z_i \backslash M_i$ is compact. If $-1 \leq i \leq u$, then $Z_i \backslash M_i$ is reduced to a point, hence is compact. If $u+1 \leq i \leq t$, then Z_i is finite, so $Z_i \backslash M_i$ is compact if and only if M_i is compact; the latter occurs only for $M_i = SO(8)/SO(7)$.

$\mathfrak{p}_{-1} = \mathfrak{g}(\tau, \mathfrak{w})$ is a nilpotent Lie algebra, and has a basis with rational structure constants if and only if τ can be chosen with rational coefficients. The Lie algebra \mathfrak{p}_0 of M_0 is commutative. Now a theorem of Mal'cev [10] says that τ can be chosen rational if, and only if, $M_{-1} \times M_0$ has a discrete subgroup with compact quotient.

Suppose that τ can be chosen rational. Then $M_{-1} \times M_0$ has a discrete subgroup with compact quotient, and gives a left translation group E discrete in $Z_{-1} \times Z_0$ with compact quotient. If $1 \leq i \leq u$ with M_i noncompact, a theorem of Borel [2] provides a discrete subgroup of M_i with compact quotient, and its left translation group is a discrete subgroup $D_i \subset Z_i$ with Z_i/D_i compact. In the other cases Z_i is compact, and we take $D_i = \{1\}$. Then $D = E \times D_1 \times \cdots \times D_t$ is a discrete subgroup of Z with Z/D compact.

Conversely let $D \subset Z$ be a discrete subgroup with Z/D compact. Permute the M_i , $1 \leq i \leq u$, so that M_i is noncompact for $1 \leq i \leq v$ and compact for $v+1 \leq i \leq u$. As $Z_{v+1} \times \cdots \times Z_t$ is compact, we replace D with its projection to $Z' = Z_{-1} \times Z_0 \times \cdots \times Z_v$. Now Z' is a simply connected Lie group whose solvable radical is the nilpotent group $Z_{-1} \times Z_0$ and whose semisimple part $Z_1 \times \cdots \times Z_v$ has no compact factor. Thus a theorem of L. Auslander [1] says that $(Z_{-1} \times Z_0)/\{D \cap (Z_{-1} \times Z_0)\}$ is compact, so τ may be chosen with rational coefficients.

9.10. Corollary. *Let $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\phi}, d\tilde{\sigma}^2)$ be a complete simply connected pseudo-riemannian manifold with consistent absolute parallelism. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) $\tilde{\phi}$ is of reductive type relative to $d\tilde{\sigma}^2$, and $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\phi}, d\tilde{\sigma}^2)$ has a compact globally symmetric quotient (M, ϕ, ds^2) .
- (ii) $\tilde{\phi}$ is of reductive type relative to $d\tilde{\sigma}^2$ and, in the notation of Theorem 9.7,
 - (a) M_{-1} is reduced to a point,

- (b) if $1 \leq i \leq u$, the group M_i is compact,
 - (c) if $u + 1 \leq i \leq t$, the quadric M_i is a 7-sphere.
- (iii) There is a riemannian metric $d\rho^2$ on \tilde{M} consistent with $\tilde{\phi}$. Then, if (M, ϕ, ds^2) is a quotient of $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\phi}, d\sigma^2)$, $d\rho^2$ induces a riemannian metric dr^2 on M consistent with ϕ .

Proof. Assume (i) and let $(M, \phi, ds^2) = D \setminus (\tilde{M}, \tilde{\phi}, d\sigma^2)$. Let D_i be the projection of D to Z_i . If $-1 \leq i \leq u$, then D_i is central in Z_i by Corollary 9.8, and Z_i/D_i is compact by Corollary 9.9. That proves (a) and (b) of (ii); (c) follows directly from Corollary 9.9. Thus (i) implies (ii). For the converse let D be a lattice in M_0 .

Assume (ii). Let dr_0^2 be any translation-invariant riemannian metric on M_0 . For $1 \leq i \leq u$ let dr_i^2 be the metric induced by the negative of the Killing form of \mathfrak{p}_i . For $u + 1 \leq i \leq t$ let dr_i^2 be the usual riemannian metric of constant curvature. Now $d\rho^2 = dr_0^2 \times \dots \times dr_t^2$ has the required properties. Thus (ii) implies (iii). Corollary 9.3 provides the converse.

10. Appendix: Lie triple systems

We collect the basic facts on Lie triple systems.

A. Foundations: N. Jacobson's work ([7], or [8])

A Lie triple system (LTS) is a vector space \mathfrak{m} with a trilinear "multiplication" map

$$\mathfrak{m} \times \mathfrak{m} \times \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m} \quad \text{denoted} \quad (x, y, z) \mapsto [x y z]$$

such that

$$(10.1a) \quad [x x z] = 0 = [x y z] + [z x y] + [y z x],$$

$$(10.1b) \quad [ab[x y z]] = [[a b x]yz] + [[b a y]xz] + [xy[a b z]].$$

If \mathfrak{Y} is a Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{Y}$ is a subspace such that $[[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}], \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{m}$, then \mathfrak{m} is a LTS under the composition $[x y z] = [[x, y], z]$; for then (10.1a) is anticommutative and the Jacobi identity, and (10.1b) follows by iteration of the Jacobi identity.

Let \mathfrak{m} be a LTS. By *derivation* of \mathfrak{m} we mean a linear map $\delta: \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ such that

$$(10.2a) \quad \delta([x y z]) = [\delta(x) y z] + [x \delta(y) z] + [x y \delta(z)].$$

We denote

$$(10.2b) \quad \mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{m}): \text{ the Lie algebra of derivations of } \mathfrak{m}.$$

If $\{a_i\}, \{b_i\} \subset \mathfrak{m}$, we have the derivations $\sum \delta_{a_i, b_i}$ where $\delta_{a,b}(x) = [a b x]$ for $a, b, x \in \mathfrak{m}$. Derivations of that sort are *inner derivations*. Denote

(10.2c) $\mathfrak{d}_0(\mathfrak{m})$: ideal in $\mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{m})$ consisting of inner derivations.

Now consider the vector space

(10.3a) $\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{m}) = \mathfrak{d}(\mathfrak{m}) + \mathfrak{m}$ vector space direct sum

with the algebra structure

(10.3b) $[D + x, E + y] = ([D, E] + \delta_{x,y}) + (D(y) - E(x))$.

Then $\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{m})$ is a Lie algebra, called the *holomorph* of \mathfrak{m} because every derivation of \mathfrak{m} is the restriction of an inner derivation of $\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{m})$. Also, $\mathfrak{d}_0(\mathfrak{m}) = [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}]$ inside $\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{m})$, so the Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{m})$ generated by \mathfrak{m} is the *standard Lie enveloping algebra* of \mathfrak{m} :

(10.3c) $\mathfrak{L}_s(\mathfrak{m}) = \mathfrak{d}_0(\mathfrak{m}) + \mathfrak{m}$ vector space direct sum.

Let \mathfrak{m} and \mathfrak{n} be LTS. If $f: \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}$ is a linear map such that

$$f[x y z] = [f(x) f(y) f(z)],$$

then f is a *homomorphism*. If f is one-one and onto, i.e., if $f^{-1}: \mathfrak{n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ exists, then f^{-1} is a homomorphism and f is an *isomorphism*. If \mathfrak{l} is a Lie algebra and $f: \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{l}$ is an injective LTS homomorphism such that $f(\mathfrak{m})$ generates \mathfrak{l} , then we say that \mathfrak{l} or (\mathfrak{l}, f) is a *Lie enveloping algebra* of \mathfrak{m} . Those always exist, for one has $\mathfrak{L}_s(\mathfrak{m})$.

The usual tensor algebra method provides a Lie enveloping algebra $\mathfrak{L}_U(\mathfrak{m})$ with the property: if (\mathfrak{l}, f) is any Lie enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{m} , then f extends to a Lie algebra homomorphism of $\mathfrak{L}_U(\mathfrak{m})$ onto \mathfrak{l} . Thus $\mathfrak{L}_U(\mathfrak{m})$ is called the *universal Lie enveloping algebra* of \mathfrak{m} . The case $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{L}_s(\mathfrak{m})$ shows

$$\mathfrak{L}_U(\mathfrak{m}) = [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] + \mathfrak{m} \quad \text{vector space direct sum.}$$

Also, if $n = \dim \mathfrak{m}$ then $\dim \mathfrak{L}_U(\mathfrak{m}) < n(n+1)/2$.

Let \mathfrak{m} be a LTS. By *subsystem* of \mathfrak{m} we mean a subspace $\mathfrak{f} \subset \mathfrak{m}$ such that $[\mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{f}] \subset \mathfrak{f}$. By *ideal* in \mathfrak{m} we mean a subspace $\mathfrak{i} \subset \mathfrak{m}$ such that $[\mathfrak{i} \mathfrak{m} \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{i}$ (and thus also $[\mathfrak{m} \mathfrak{m} \mathfrak{i}] \subset \mathfrak{i}$). The ideals of \mathfrak{m} are just the kernels $f^{-1}(0)$ of LTS homomorphisms $f: \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}$, \mathfrak{n} variable; if \mathfrak{i} is an ideal then $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{i}$ inherits a LTS structure from \mathfrak{m} , the projection $p: \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{i}$ is a homomorphism, and $\mathfrak{i} = p^{-1}(0)$ kernel.

B. Structure: W. G. Lister's work [9]

Let $m \subset \mathfrak{l}$ be a LTS in Lie enveloping algebra. Then $[m, m]$ and $[m, m] + m$ are subalgebras of \mathfrak{l} , so $\mathfrak{l} = [m, m] + m$. If $[m, m] \cap m = 0$, then one verifies that \mathfrak{l} has an automorphism σ whose $+1$ eigenspace is $[m, m]$ and whose -1 eigenspace is m . This applies in particular to $\mathfrak{l}_s(m)$ and to $\mathfrak{l}_T(m)$, and it is the basic connection between LTS theory and symmetric space theory.

The *derived series* of a LTS m is the chain

$$(10.4a) \quad m = m^{(0)} \supset m^{(1)} \supset \dots \supset m^{(k)} \supset \dots$$

of ideals of m defined by

$$(10.4b) \quad m^{(k+1)} = [m \ m^{(k)} \ m^{(k)}].$$

m is *solvable* if its derived series terminates in 0, i.e., if some $m^{(k)} = 0$. If m is solvable, then every Lie enveloping algebra of m is a solvable Lie algebra.

The *radical* of m is the span of the solvable ideals of m ; it is the maximal solvable ideal in m , and we denote

$$(10.5a) \quad \mathfrak{r}(m): \text{ radical of } m.$$

If $\mathfrak{r}(m) = 0$, then m is *semisimple*. In general there is a Levi decomposition

$$(10.5b) \quad m = \mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{r}(m), \quad \mathfrak{s} \text{ semisimple, } \mathfrak{s} \cap \mathfrak{r}(m) = 0.$$

The projection $m \rightarrow m/\mathfrak{r}(m)$ maps $\mathfrak{s} \cong m/\mathfrak{r}(m)$.

If m has no proper ideals, then m is *simple*. If $[m \ m \ m] = 0$, then m is *commutative*. If m is simple, then either it is semisimple and noncommutative, or it is 1-dimensional and commutative.

If m_1 and m_2 are LTS, then their *direct sum* is the LTS $m = m_1 \oplus m_2$ given by

$$[x_1 + x_2 \ y_1 + y_2 \ z_1 + z_2] = [x_1 y_1 z_1] + [x_2 y_2 z_2]; \quad x_i, y_i, z_i \in m_i.$$

Note that m_1 and m_2 are complementary ideals in m . Conversely, if m is a LTS with complementary ideals m_1 and m_2 , then $m \cong m_1 \oplus m_2$.

If m is semisimple, then $m = m_1 \oplus \dots \oplus m_t$ where the m_i are its distinct simple ideals; thus $m^{(1)} = m$, every derivation of m is inner, and every linear representation of m is completely reducible. Conversely, if $\{m_1, \dots, m_t\}$ are noncommutative simple LTS, then $m_1 \oplus \dots \oplus m_t$ is semisimple.

The structure of semisimple LTS was just reduced to that of simple LTS. For the latter, let $m \subset \mathfrak{l}_T(m)$ be a noncommutative simple LTS in its universal Lie enveloping algebra. Then there are just two cases, as follows.

$$(10.6) \quad \text{If } m \text{ is the LTS of a (necessarily simple) Lie algebra } \mathfrak{f}, \text{ then } \mathfrak{l}_T(m) = \mathfrak{f} \oplus \mathfrak{f} \text{ in such a manner that}$$

$$\mathfrak{m} = \{(x, -x) : x \in \mathfrak{f}\} \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] = \{(x, x) : x \in \mathfrak{f}\}.$$

Thus \mathfrak{m} is the -1 eigenspace of the involutive automorphism $(x, y) \mapsto (y, x)$ of $\mathfrak{L}_V(\mathfrak{m})$.

(10.7) If \mathfrak{m} is not the LTS of a Lie algebra, then $\mathfrak{L}_V(\mathfrak{m})$ is simple, and \mathfrak{m} is the -1 eigenspace of an involutive automorphism of $\mathfrak{L}_V(\mathfrak{m})$.

Now the classification of simple LTS over an algebraically closed field is more or less identical to the classification of compact irreducible riemannian symmetric spaces.

Let \mathfrak{m} be a LTS. Then the *center* of \mathfrak{m} is

$$(10.8) \quad \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{m}) = \{x \in \mathfrak{m} : [x \mathfrak{m} \mathfrak{m}] = 0\}.$$

The representation theory of \mathfrak{m} coincides with that of $\mathfrak{L}_V(\mathfrak{m})$. Thus the following conditions are equivalent.

(10.9a) \mathfrak{m} has a faithful completely reducible linear representation.

(10.9b) $\mathfrak{L}_V(\mathfrak{m})$ has a faithful completely reducible linear representation, i.e., $\mathfrak{L}_V(\mathfrak{m})$ is "reductive".

(10.9c) $\mathfrak{L}_V(\mathfrak{m}) = \mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{s}$ where \mathfrak{z} is its center, \mathfrak{s} is semisimple, and $\mathfrak{s} = [\mathfrak{L}_V(\mathfrak{m}), \mathfrak{L}_V(\mathfrak{m})]$ derived algebra.

(10.9d) $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{m}) \oplus \mathfrak{m}^{(1)}$, and the derived LTS $\mathfrak{m}^{(1)} = [\mathfrak{m} \mathfrak{m} \mathfrak{m}]$ is semisimple.

Under the equivalent conditions (10.9) we say that \mathfrak{m} is *reductive*. From the corresponding Lie algebra situation, we say that a subsystem $\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{m}$ is *reductive in* \mathfrak{m} if the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{L}_V(\mathfrak{m})$ restricts to a completely reducible representation of \mathfrak{n} . Thus

(10.10a) \mathfrak{m} is reductive $\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ is reductive in \mathfrak{m} ,

(10.10b) if \mathfrak{m} is reductive, and \mathfrak{n} is reductive in \mathfrak{m} , then $\{x \in \mathfrak{m} : [x \mathfrak{n} \mathfrak{n}] = 0\}$ is reductive in \mathfrak{m} .

C. Invariant bilinear forms

Now we introduce a notion of invariant bilinear form for LTS. That is the key to application of the theory of reductive LTS to the theory of pseudo-riemannian symmetric spaces.

Let \mathfrak{L} be a Lie algebra. Recall that *invariant bilinear form* on \mathfrak{L} means a symmetric bilinear form b on \mathfrak{L} such that $b([x, y], z) = b(x, [y, z])$. It then follows that

$$b(z, [[y, x], w]) = b([[x, y], z], w) = b(x, [[w, z], y]) .$$

The main example is the *trace form*

$$b_r(x, y) = \text{trace } \pi(x)\pi(y)$$

of a linear representation π of \mathfrak{l} . The algebra \mathfrak{l} is reductive if, and only if, it has a nondegenerate trace form. However (3.7) shows that a non-reductive algebra might carry a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form.

Let \mathfrak{m} be a LTS. By *invariant bilinear form* on \mathfrak{m} we mean a symmetric bilinear form b such that

$$(10.11) \quad b(z, [y x w]) = b([x y z], w) = b(x, [w z y]) .$$

The preceding discussion shows that the restriction of an invariant bilinear form on a Lie enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{m} is an invariant bilinear form on \mathfrak{m} .

10.12. Lemma. *Let \mathfrak{m} be a LTS, and b an invariant bilinear form on \mathfrak{m} .*

(i) *The center $\mathfrak{z} = \{x \in \mathfrak{m} : [x \mathfrak{m} \mathfrak{m}] = 0\}$ and the derived system $\mathfrak{m}^{(1)} = [\mathfrak{m} \mathfrak{m} \mathfrak{m}]$ satisfy $b(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{m}^{(1)}) = 0$.*

(ii) *If \mathfrak{i} is an ideal in \mathfrak{m} , then $\{x \in \mathfrak{m} : b(x, \mathfrak{i}) = 0\}$ is an ideal in \mathfrak{m} .*

(iii) *If \mathfrak{l} is a Lie enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{m} in which $[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] \cap \mathfrak{m} = 0$, then \mathfrak{l} carries an invariant bilinear form b' (in the sense of Lie algebras) such that $b = b'|_{\mathfrak{m}}$.*

Proof. For (i) note $b(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{m}^{(1)}) = b(\mathfrak{z}, [\mathfrak{m} \mathfrak{m} \mathfrak{m}]) = b([\mathfrak{z} \mathfrak{m} \mathfrak{m}], \mathfrak{m}) = b(0, \mathfrak{m}) = \{0\}$.

For (ii) let $\mathfrak{j} = \{x \in \mathfrak{m} : b(x, \mathfrak{i}) = 0\}$. It is a linear subspace of \mathfrak{m} . If $i \in \mathfrak{i}$, $j \in \mathfrak{j}$ and $x, y \in \mathfrak{m}$, then

$$b([j x y], i) = b(j, [i y x]) \in b(\mathfrak{j}, \mathfrak{i}) = \{0\} ,$$

so $[j x y] \in \mathfrak{j}$.

For (iii) we define b' on $\mathfrak{m} \times \mathfrak{m}$ to agree with b ; we define $b'([\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}], \mathfrak{m}) = 0$; and we define b' on $[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] \times [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}]$ by

$$b'([x, y], [z, w]) = b([x y z], w) \quad \text{for } x, y, z, w \in \mathfrak{m} .$$

That gives us a symmetric bilinear form b' on \mathfrak{l} such that $b = b'|_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Now we check that b' is invariant, i.e., that $b'([p, q], r) = b'(p, [q, r])$ for all $p, q, r \in \mathfrak{l}$. It suffices to assume that each of p, q, r is in $[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] \cup \mathfrak{m}$ and go by cases.

If $p, q, r \in \mathfrak{m}$, then $[p, q], [q, r] \in [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}]$ so $b'([p, q], r) = 0 = b'(p, [q, r])$.

If $p, q \in \mathfrak{m}$ and $r = [z, w]$ with $z, w \in \mathfrak{m}$, then $b'([p, q], r) = b'([p, q], [z, w]) = b([p q z], w) = b(p, [w z q]) = b(p, [q, [z, w]]) = b'(p, [q, r])$, which takes care of the case $p, q \in \mathfrak{m}$ and $r \in [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}]$, and the cases $p, r \in \mathfrak{m}$ and $q \in [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}]$, and $q, r \in \mathfrak{m}$ and $p \in [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}]$, follow immediately.

If $p \in \mathfrak{m}$ and $q, r \in [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}]$, then $[p, q] \in \mathfrak{m}$ so $b'([p, q], r) = 0$, and $[q, r] \in [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}]$ so $b'(p, [q, r]) = 0$. The cases $q \in \mathfrak{m}$ and $p, r \in [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}]$, and $r \in \mathfrak{m}$ and $p, q \in [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}]$, follow similarly.

Finally, let $p = [s, t]$, $q = [x, y]$ and $r = [z, w]$ with $s, t, x, y, z, w \in \mathfrak{m}$. Note $[p, q] + [y, [p, x]] + [x, [y, p]] = 0$ and $[q, r] + [[r, x], y] + [[y, r], x] = 0$. Using the invariance already checked, now

$$\begin{aligned} b'([p, q], r) &= b'([[p, x], y], r) - b'([[p, y], x], r) \\ &= b'([p, x], [y, r]) - b'([p, y], [x, r]) \\ &= b'(p, [x, [y, r]]) - b'(p, [y, [x, r]]) \\ &= b'(p, [q, r]) . \end{aligned} \quad \text{q.e.d.}$$

Suppose that \mathfrak{m} is a LTS and b is a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form. Then $x \in \mathfrak{g} \Leftrightarrow b([x \mathfrak{m} \mathfrak{m}], \mathfrak{m}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow b(x, [\mathfrak{m} \mathfrak{m} \mathfrak{m}]) = 0$. Thus

$$(10.13a) \quad \mathfrak{g}^\perp = \mathfrak{m}^{(1)} \quad \text{relative to the form } b, \text{ so}$$

$$(10.13b) \quad \dim \mathfrak{m} = \dim \mathfrak{g} + \dim \mathfrak{m}^{(1)} .$$

The analogous fact (that $\mathfrak{g}^\perp = [\mathfrak{I}, \mathfrak{I}]$) holds for nondegenerate invariant bilinear forms on Lie algebras.

We extend a theorem of Dieudonné from Lie algebras to LTS.

10.14. Proposition. *Let \mathfrak{m} be a LTS, and b a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form on \mathfrak{m} . If \mathfrak{m} has no nonzero ideal i such that $[i \mathfrak{m} i] = 0$, then $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{m}_t$ where the \mathfrak{m}_j are simple ideals, $b(\mathfrak{m}_j, \mathfrak{m}_k) = 0$ for $j \neq k$, and each $b|_{\mathfrak{m}_j \times \mathfrak{m}_j}$ is a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form.*

Proof. Let \mathfrak{m}_1 be a minimal ideal in \mathfrak{m} . From Lemma 10.12, $\mathfrak{m}_1^\perp = \{x \in \mathfrak{m} : b(x, \mathfrak{m}_1) = 0\}$ is an ideal, so also $i = \mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathfrak{m}_1^\perp$ is an ideal. If $i, j \in i$ and $x, y \in \mathfrak{m}$, then

$$b([i x j], y) = b(i, [y j x]) \in b(i, i) = \{0\} ;$$

so $[i \mathfrak{m} i] = 0$ by nondegeneracy of b . Thus $i = 0$ by hypothesis. Now $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_1^\perp$. The proposition holds for \mathfrak{m}_1^\perp by induction on $\dim \mathfrak{m}$. q.e.d.

Conversely, (10.6) and (10.7) show that every semisimple LTS carries a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form, in characteristic zero.

Now with (3.6) and (3.7) in mind, we introduce

10.15. Definition. Let \mathfrak{m} be a LTS, and b a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form on \mathfrak{m} . Suppose

- (i) b is nondegenerate on the center of \mathfrak{m} , and
- (ii) if i is an ideal in \mathfrak{m} such that $[i \mathfrak{m} i] = 0$, then i is central in \mathfrak{m} , i.e., $[i \mathfrak{m} \mathfrak{m}] = 0$.

Then we say that the pair (\mathfrak{m}, b) is of *reductive type*.

10.16. Theorem. *Let \mathfrak{m} be a LTS, and b a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form on \mathfrak{m} such that (\mathfrak{m}, b) is of reductive type. Then \mathfrak{m} is reductive. Moreover*

$$(10.17a) \quad m = m_0 \oplus m_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus m_t,$$

where

(10.17b) m_0 is the center of m and the other m_i are simple ideals,

(10.17c) $b(m_i, m_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$, and

(10.17d) each $b|_{m_i \times m_i}$ is nondegenerate.

Conversely, if m is a reductive LTS over a field of characteristic zero, then it carries a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form b such that (m, b) is of reductive type.

Proof. Let (m, b) be of reductive type, m_0 be the center of m , and $m' = \{x \in m : b(x, m_0) = 0\}$. As b is nondegenerate on m_0 , now $m = m_0 \oplus m'$ and $b = b_0 \oplus b'$. Let $i \subset m'$ be an ideal such that $[i m' i] = 0$. As $[i m_0 i] \subset [m_0 m m] = 0$, now $[i m i] = 0$. Thus $i \subset m_0$, so $i = 0$. Now Proposition 10.14 says $m' = m_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus m_t$ with $b' = b_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus b_t$. That proves (10.17).

Conversely let m be reductive. Then $m = \mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{s}$ where \mathfrak{z} is its center and \mathfrak{s} is semisimple. Let b'' be any nondegenerate bilinear form on \mathfrak{z} , and choose a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form b' on \mathfrak{s} ; then $b = b'' \oplus b'$ is a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{s} = m$ and is nondegenerate on \mathfrak{z} . If $i \subset m$ is an ideal with $[i m i] = 0$, then $[i i i] = 0$, so i is solvable, whence $i \subset \mathfrak{z}$.

10.18. Corollary. Let m be a reductive LTS, and b a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form on m . Then (m, b) is of reductive type, the center m_0 of m is b -orthogonal to the derived system $m^{(1)}$, and the distinct simple ideals of $m^{(1)}$ are mutually b -orthogonal.

Proof. As m is reductive, $m = m_0 \oplus m^{(1)}$, and (10.13a) says $b(m_0, m^{(1)}) = 0$. Now apply Proposition 10.14 to the semisimple system $m^{(1)}$.

10.19. Corollary. Let \mathfrak{L} be a Lie algebra over a field of characteristic zero. Then \mathfrak{L} is reductive if, and only if,

- (i) every abelian ideal of \mathfrak{L} is central, and
- (ii) \mathfrak{L} has a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form which is nondegenerate on the center of \mathfrak{L} .

If \mathfrak{L} is reductive and b is a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form, then the center \mathfrak{z} of \mathfrak{L} is b -orthogonal to the derived algebra \mathfrak{L}' , and the distinct simple ideals of \mathfrak{L}' are mutually b -orthogonal.

Conditions (i) and (ii) both fail for the algebra (3.7).

Condition (i) does not imply (ii), as seen from the Lie algebra \mathfrak{L} of $Sp(n, R) \cdot H_n$ where H_n is the $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional Heisenberg group, $Sp(n, R)$ acts irreducibly on a $(2n)$ -dimensional complement to the center Z of H_n , and $Sp(n, R)$ acts trivially on Z . Here \mathfrak{z} is the only abelian ideal in \mathfrak{L} .

References

- [1] L. Auslander, *On radicals of discrete subgroups of Lie groups*, Amer. J. Math. **85** (1963) 145–150.
- [2] A. Borel, *Compact Clifford-Klein forms of symmetric spaces*, Topology **2** (1963) 111–122.
- [3] É. Cartan & J. A. Schouten, *On the geometry of the group manifold of simple and semisimple groups*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A, **29** (1926) 803–815.
- [4] —, *On Riemannian geometries admitting an absolute parallelism*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A, **29** (1926) 933–946.
- [5] J. E. D'Atri & H. K. Nickerson, *The existence of special orthonormal frames*, J. Differential Geometry **2** (1968) 393–409.
- [6] N. J. Hicks, *A theorem on affine connexions*, Illinois J. Math. **3** (1959) 242–254.
- [7] N. Jacobson, *General representation theory of Jordan algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **70** (1951) 509–530.
- [8] —, *Structure and representations of Jordan algebras*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. Vol. 39, 1968.
- [9] W. G. Lister, *A structure theory of Lie triple systems*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1952) 217–242.
- [10] A. I. Mal'cev, *On a class of homogeneous spaces*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. **13** (1949) 9–32.
- [11] J. A. Wolf, *Spaces of constant curvature*, Second edition, Berkeley, 1972.
- [12] J. A. Wolf & A. Gray, *Homogeneous spaces defined by Lie group automorphisms. II*, J. Differential Geometry **2** (1968) 115–159.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY